9200220

THE UNITED STATES OF ANTERIOA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME;

Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

There has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED DISTINCT VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED, OR TUBER PROPAGATED, PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF TWENTY YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE SHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR TING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR CONDITIONING IT FOR PROPAGATION, OR STOCKING IT FOR ANY OF THE URPOSES, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT VARIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

ALFALFA

'5246'

In Testimenn Murrers, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Hant Antietn Arotection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this thirty-first day of Jugust in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundral and ninety-five.

Acting Commissioner
Phys. Variety Protection Office

Agricultural Marketing Service

Secretary of Agriculture

rting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Office, ORMA, Room 404-W, Washington, D.C. 20250; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB 70581-0055), Washington, 20250.

FORM APPROVED: OMB 0581-0055, Expires 1/31/91

3. The state of th	777, 17031 ington, 202.	io, ronn a	**************************************	Expires 1/3//94
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL MARKE	ETING SERVICE		determine it	is required in order to a plant variety protection to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421).
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIET		TION CERTIFICATE	Information	is held confidential until issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).
1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)		2. TEMPORÁRY DESIGNA EXPERIMENTAL NO.	TION OR 3. VARIETY	NAME
Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.		XAE92	524	6
4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and 2IP)		5. PHONE (Include area c	ode) FOR O	FFICIAL USE ONLY
7305 N. W. 62nd Ave., P. O. Box 287			PVPO NUMBE	i R
Johnston, IA 50131		515-270-3340		200220
			F Date	148 22 1802
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FAMILY NAME	Botanicul)	I 7jh⊪e	Luc 22, 1992
Medicago sativa	Legumin	osae	8 3.	10 A.M. PP.M.
8. CROP KIND NAME (Common Hame)	1	9. DATE OF DETERMINATION		and Examination Fee:
Alfalfa		August, 1988	E S Date	150. 🗠
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORGA	NIZATION (Corporati	on, partnership, association, etc.)	a A	UNE 19, 1992
Corporation				licate Fee:
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION		12. DATE OF INCORPORATION	E 3 2.	50,00 \$ 150.00
Iowa		1926	- ! - X	ay 31: 1995
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO			is	0
William T. W. Woodward, 7305 N. W. 62n				31
John Hintze, 700 Capital Square, 400 L Mike Roth, 700 Capital Square, 400 Loc			50309 50309	
Time Rolly 700 capital square, 400 Loc	ust boreet	PHONE (Inclusion		
14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED (FO	How INSTRUCTIONS of			
Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety.				
b. 🔀 Exhibit B, Novelty Statement.				
c. Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety. d. Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety.			•	
e Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Owners!	nin			
t. Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Date Seed		Plant Variety Protection Office	6-8-92	
g. x Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,150) made payable to				
15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SC Protection Act.) YES (II "YES." answer items 16 and 17 by		SE ONLY AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED O (II "NO," skip to item 18 below)	SEED? (See section 83(a)	of the Plant Variety
16 DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?		YES" TO ITEM 16, WHICH CLASSES	OF PRODUCTION BEYOND	BREEDER SEED?
YES NO		FOUNDATION [REGISTERED	CERTIFIED
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THE V.	ARIETY IN THE U.S.?			N
YES (If "YES," Ihrough Plant Variety Protection Act	Patent Act. (Sive date:)		
19 HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OR N	AARKETED IN THE II	C OB OTHER COLINTRISES		
		ring of 1992		
No	, o op	11119 01 1992		
The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic so request in accordance with such regulations as may be app	eeds of this variet licable.	y will be furnished with the	application and will b	e replenished upon
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this uniform, and stable as required in section 41, and is entitle	s sexually reproded and to protection u	uced novel plant variety, an ider the provisions of section	d believe(s) that the 42 of the Plant Variety	variety is distinct, y Protection Act.
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation her				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))	CAPACI	TY OR TITLE	DATE	
PIONEER HI-BRED INTERNATIONAL, INC.				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))	CARACI	TY OR TITLE	DATE	
	Dire	ctor, Department o	ے ا	-8-92
By William T.M. Woodward	Alfa	lfa Breeding		0-10

FORM CSSD-470 (5-89). Edition of FORM LS-470, 3-86, is obsolete

EXHIBIT A

ORIGIN AND BREEDING HISTORY OF THE VARIETY

152461

5246 is a synthetic variety with 315 parent plants originating from an experimental tracing to the varieties '5373' and '5262'. Parent plants were selected through phenotypic recurrent selection for resistance to Phytophtora root rot and/or Aphanomyces root rot. Germplasm sources are M. falcata (7%), Ladak (9%), M. Varia (26%), Turkistan (4%), Flemish (47%), Chilean (7%).

During seed multiplication no variates beyond the limits defined under Exhibit C have been found. Multiplication procedures will insure that seed being sold as 5246 will not be shifted in characteristics beyond presently acceptable limits for alfalfa varieties. Syn 1 seed harvested from individual plants in "cage isolation" in 1988 is considered breeder seed.

It is confirmed that 5246 meets presently acceptable levels for uniformity for alfalfa varieties.

EXHIBIT B

NOVELTY STATEMENT

152461

5246 most closely resembles the variety '5252'. 5246 differs from 5252 in Aphanomyces root rot resistance and anthracnose resistance, being classified as having moderate resistance and high resistance, while 5252 has high resistance and resistance, respectively, to the diseases.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
LIVESTOCK AND SEED DIVISION
PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE
BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

		ALFALFA	. (Medicago sativa se	nsu Gunn et al./			
NAME OF APPLICANT(S)			TEMPORARY	DESIGNATION	VARIETY NAME		
Pioneer Hi-Bred Int	ternational	, Inc.	X	AE92	5246	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. N	lo., City, State, and Zi	p Code)			FOR	OFFICIAL USE ONL'	<u> </u>
7305 N. W. 62nd Ave	e.,P. O. Bo	x 287			PVPO NUMBER	•	
Johnston, IA 5013	31				920	00220	·
PLEASE READ ALL INSTRUCTION Application variety. Data for quantitative data. Comparative data shoe.g., The Munsell Plant Tissue Colo	titative plant charac ould be determined	ters should be based	on a minimum of 1	00 plants. Include le	ading zeros when neces	sary (e.g., 0 8	9) for quan-
1. WINTERHARDINESS:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
3: 5: 7:	- Very Non-Winterham - Intermediately Non (Du Puits) - (Ranger) - Extremely Winterhal	Winterhardy (Mesilla)	4 = Semi-Winter	hardy (Moapa 69) rhardy (Lahontan) Winterhardy (Saranac) r (Vernel)			
TE	ST LOCATION:	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	-		•
2, FALL DORMANCY:					· NOO!		
	FÆ	LL DORMANCY (L	DETERMINED FRO	M SPACED PLANT			1
TESTING INSTITUTION	DATE OF	DATE REGROWTH	•	REGROWTH SCORE	OR AVERAGE HEIGHT	-	-
AND LOCATION	LAST CUT	SCORED	APPLICATION VARIETY	Navaowan	CHECK VARIETIES*	Arrort	LSD .05
			,	Norseman	Vernal.	Arrow	
Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. Owatonna, MN	8/29/91	10/2/91	20.7	13.9	17.5	22.9	
7 Fall Growth Habit (Detern	lications	ncy Trials) 3 = Sen	nierect (Mesilla) cumbent (Norseman)	5 = Intermediat			
3. RECOVERY AFTER FIRST SPRING	CUT (In Southwest,	first out after March 21	i _i or some		•		
5 1 = Very Fast 9 = Very Slov TEST LOCA			t (Saranac) tonna , MN	5 = Intermediat	e (Ranger) 7	7 = Slow (Vernat) -	·
4. AREAS OF ADAPTATION IN U.S. (-	en adapted}:		2 6 0	her Areas of Adaptation		
1 = North Cer 5 ≈ Moderatel 8 ≈ Other <i>(Sp.</i>	ly Winterhardy Interm	2 = East Central ountain	3 = So 6 = Winterhardy Int	outheast ermountain	4 = Southwest 5 7 = Great Plains		7,
5. FLOWERING DATE (When 10% of p	lants possess open flov	vers at time of first spri	ng eut):				
Days Earlier Than		1 - CUF	101	2 – Mesilla	3 = Sarenac 4 = \	∕ernal 5 = No	rsemen
Days Later Than						•	
	TEST LOCATION:						

6. PLANT COLOR (Determined	from healthy regrowth 3 w	eeks after first sp	ring cut, controlling k	rethoppers if necessar	γ):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	000000
1 = Very Dark Gree	n (524)	2 - Dark Green	(Vernal)	3 = Light Green (f	Ranger)		9200220
COLOR CHART V	ALUE (Specify chart used):						
APPLICATION VA	RIETY:						
VERNAL:							
TEST LOCATION:							
7. CROWN TYPE (Determined	from spaced plantings):						*
Noncreeping Ty	pes: 1 = Broad (V	/ernal)	2 = Intermediate (Sa	ranac)	3 = Narrow (C	UF 101) .	•
Creeping Types:	4 = Creeping	Rooted (Rangel	ander)	5 = Rhizomatous	(Rhizoma)		•
8. FLOWER COLOR (Determin	ne frequency of plants for e	ach color class as	defined by USDA Ag			es 1972), allowing all	plants in plot to flower):
	olet (Subclasses 1.1 to 1.4)		0 1	-	asses 2.3 and 2		• •
0 1 5 % Variegated Ot	her Than Blue (Subclasses 2	2.1, 2.2, 2.5 to 2.	9)	t % Yellow (Sut	oclasses 4.1 to	4.4}	
t % Cream (Class :	3)			t % White (Class	: 5)		
TEST LOCATIO	N: Johnston,	IA; Conn	ell, WA				
9. POD SHAPE (Determine freq	uency of plants with the fo	flowing pod shap	es produced on well o	ross-pollinated racem	es):		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	(One or more coils, center		[]				
% Sickle (Less th		more of less clos				ore coils, center consp	icuously open)
				TEST LOCAT			
ingex :	icores (ASI), least significan	it difference stati	stics (LSD ,05), the in	istitution in charge of	test, year, and	location of test, and	c generation tested, average severity . whether test is a field or laboratory
locatio	as should be presented why	never available o	n a separate documen	t as Exhibit D.			il data from other test years or
Seeds o	of the check varieties and ge	rmplasm lines lis	ted below can be obta	ined from the USDA	Field Crops L	boratory, Bidg. 001,	Rm. 335, BARC-West, Beltsville, MD
present	ted.	THE CHECK ABILIATION	ilisted below are pres	erred, comparisons w	ith any approp	riate check variety re	commended by Elgin (1982) may be
A. DISEASE RESISTANCE:		SYN. GEN.	PERCENT	NUMBER OF		1	
DISEASE	VARIETY	TESTED	RESISTANT PLANTS	NUMBER OF PLANTS TESTED	ASI	ASI LSD ,05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION, FIELD OR LABORATORY
Anthracnose, Race 1 (Colletotrichum trifolii)	Application HR	1	64.2	~300		% Resis-	Pioneer Hi-Bred
					<u> </u>	tant	International, Inc
	Arc (R)		65.0	11		Plants	1989
	Saranac (S)		0.0	11		18.4	Johnston, IA
	2000000			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	Laboratory
•	SCURING SYSTEM: %	survivir	ng_seedling	s. Data a	ıdjusted	d to Arc at	65% resistance
Anthracnose, Race 2	plants by Pi	oneer Hi	-Bred Inte	rnational,	Inc.	I	
(Collectotrichum trifolii)	Application						
	Saranac AR (R)						
	<u> </u>			· ·			
\	Arc (S)				**		
	SCORING SYSTEM:	······································				I	
Bacterial Wilt (Corynebacterium insidiosum)	Application HR	1	77.0	~200	5.76	0.63	Pioneer Hi-Bred
	Vernal (R)		42.0	31	4 70	% Resis-	International, Inc.
	vertial (14)		42.0		4.73	Plants 16.2	1989
	Narragansett (S)	İ	4.4	11	2.81		Arlington, WI Field
	SCORING SYSTEM: P1	ants sco	red 7-9 (o	n a 1-9 sc	ale who	re 9=no di	sease and 1=dead
<u>·</u>	plant) consi	dered re	sistant.	Data adius	ted to	Vernal at	42% resistant
Common Leafspot (Pseudopeziza medicaginis)	plants by Pl	oneer H	-Bred Inte	rnational	Inc.		
	MSA-CW3AN3 (R)						
	Ranger (S)						
:	SCORING SYSTEM:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		I.	,
		a a					,

	10. A. PEST RESISTANCE (Co		7		1		i i	1
_	DISEASE	VARIETY	SYN. GEN. TESTED	PERCENT RESISTANT PLANTS	NUMBER OF PLANTS TESTED	ASI	ASI LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION FIELD OR LABORATORY
	Downy Mildew (Peronospora trifoliorum)	Application			,			
	Isolate, if known:	Saranac (R)						
		– Kanza (S)	<u>.</u>					
		SCORING SYSTEM:						
	Fusarium Wilt (Fusarium oxysporum 1. medicaginis)	Application HR	1	62.0	~225	1.87	0.60 % Resis-	University of Minnesota
		Moapa 69 (R) Aga	ate (R)	54.0	n	2.40	Plants 14.1	1990 Rosemount, MN
	•		(MR)	29.0	ŧ1	4.58		Field
		SCORING SYSTEM:	Plants so	cored 0 a	nd 1 (on a 0))-5 scal	le where O	=no disease and 5=
-	Physical by D D	plants by t	consider יבעות או	ed resis	tant. Data	adjuste	ed to Agate	e at 54% resistant
	Phytophthora Root Rot (Phytophthora megasperma (, medicaginis)	Application HR -	1	55.8	~300		% Resis-	Pioneer Hi-Bred
	·	Agate (R)		43.0	11		tant Plants	International, 1
		Saranac (S)		4.8	11		17.7	Quarryville, PA Laboratory
		SCORING SYSTEM:	% healthy	seedling	ys. Data ad	ljusted	to Agate a	at 43% resistant
_	Verticillium Wilt	plants by P	Loneer Hi	-Brea Int	ernational,	I Inc.	I	<u> </u>
	(Vecticillium alboatrum)	Application R	1	46.4	300	3.30	0.55	Pioneer Hi-Bred
		Vertus (R)		40.0	II .	3.16	% Resis- tant	International, 1
		Saranac (S)		3.9	11	1.60	Plants 14.9	Arlington, WI Laboratory
	· ·	SCORING SYSTEM:P1			on a 1-9 sc	ale whe	re 9≃no di	sease and 1=
-	Other (Specify)	plants by Pi	consider	ed resist	ant. Data	<u>adjuste</u>	d to Vertu	ıs at 40% resistar
CIR NT	the state of the s	Application				!		
LIVIO	OMYCES ROOT ROT	MR	2	18.7	~300	3.52	0.70	Pioneer Hi-Bred
•		(R) WAPH-1	(R)	50.0	II .	5.56	% Resis- tant	International, I
		(s) Agate	(S)	1.2	11	1.82	Plants 6.0	Arlington, WI Laboratory
	•	or hypogotyl	Plants sco	ored 7-9	(on a 1-9 so	cale wh	ere 9=no o	lamage to roots
	Other (Specify)	WAPH-1 at 50	% regist	ant nlant	t by Pioneo	ed test	stant. Da	ta adjusted to tional, Inc.
		Application	70 IESISO	and brain	s by Fionee	r ur-pr	ed Interna	tional, inc.
		(R) 3		·			, i	
		(s)						
		SCORING SYSTEM:				L		
B. I	NSECT RESISTANCE:		044 054	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DEFOLIATION IN			
****	INSECT	VARIETY	SYN. GEN. TESTED	PERCENT DEFOLIATION	PERCENT OF RESISTANT CHECK	ASI	ASI LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION FIELD OR LABORATORY
	Alfalfa Weevil Hypera postica)	Application						
		Arc (R)			100		-	•
					100		. 1	
		Saranac (S)			100			

	•		PEDOCNIT	AUGARCOCC	7	<u> </u>	7200220
INSECT	VARIETY	SYN, GEN, TESTED	PERCENT SEEDLING SURVIVAL	NUMBER OF SEEDLINGS TESTED	ASI	ASI LSD ,05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION FIELD OR LABORATORY
Blue Alfalfa Aphid (Acyrthosiphon kondoi)	Application						200
÷	CUF 101 (R)					1	
	PA-1. (S)						
	SCORING SYSTEM:				-1		
Pea Aphid (Acyrthosiphon pisum)	Application R	2	40.4	~300		% Resis-	Pioneer Hi-Bred
	XXXXX Baker	r (R)	45.0	II.		tant Plants	International, In 1991
•	жжжж Verna	al (S)	4.0	11		10.2	Johnston, IA Laboratory
•	SCORING SYSTEM:	Plants sc	ored 5-9 (on a 1-9 s	cale wh	nere 9=tall	, symptomless plan
Spotted Alfalfa Aphid	and 1=dead	plant) c	<u>onsidered</u>	<u>resistant.</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>adjusted t</u>	o Baker at 45%
(Therioaphis maculata)	resistant r	prants by			ernatio	opal, Inc.	
Biotype, if known:	/ R	1 1	41.6	300	ļ		Pioneer Hi-Bred
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	XXXXX Baker		50.0	11		% Resis-	International, In 1991
	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		0.0			Plants 14.4	Kerman, CA Laboratory
* * *	SCORING SYSTEM:			(on a 1-9 :	scale w	here 9=no	damage and 1= dead
	plant) cons		esistant.				50% resistant plan
INSECT	by Pioneer	Hi-Bred	Internatio	nal Inc.		1.	T
	VARIETY	SYN, GEN, TESTED	RESISTANT PLANTS	NUMBER OF PLANTS TESTED	ASI	ASI LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION FIELD OR LABORATORY
Potato Leafhopper Yellowing (Empossca fabae)	Application	I STIN. GEN.	RESISTANT	NOWREH OF	ASI		INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATIO FIELD OR LABORATORY
Potato Leafhopper Yellowing		I STIN. GEN.	RESISTANT	NOWREH OF	ASI		INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATIO FIELD OR LABORATORY
Potato Leafhopper Yellowing	Application	I STIN. GEN.	RESISTANT	NOWREH OF	ASI		INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATIO FIELD OR LABORATORY
Potato Leafhopper Yellowing	Application MSA-CW3An3 (R)	I STIN. GEN.	RESISTANT	NOWREH OF	ASI		INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATIO FIELD OR LABORATORY
Potato Leafhopper Yellowing	Application MSA-CW3An3 (R) Ranger (S) SCORING SYSTEM:	I STIN. GEN.	RESISTANT	NOWREH OF	ASI		INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATIO FIELD OR LABORATORY
Potato Leafhopper Yellowing (Emposca fabae)	Application MSA-CW3An3 (R) Ranger (S)	I STIN. GEN.	RESISTANT	NOWREH OF	ASI		INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATIO FIELD OR LABORATORY
Potato Leafhopper Yellowing (Emposca fabae)	Application MSA-CW3An3 (R) Ranger (S) SCORING SYSTEM:	I STIN. GEN.	RESISTANT	NOWREH OF	ASI		INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATIO FIELD OR LABORATORY
Potato Leafhopper Yellowing (Emposca fabae)	Application MSA-CW3An3 (R) Ranger (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application	I STIN. GEN.	RESISTANT	NOWREH OF	ASI		INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATIO FIELD OR LABORATORY
Potato Leafhopper Yellowing (Emposca fabue)	Application MSA-CW3An3 (R) Ranger (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application (R)	I STIN. GEN.	RESISTANT	NOWREH OF	ASI		INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION FIELD OR LABORATORY
Potato Leafhopper Yellowing (Empossa fabae) Other (Specify)	Application MSA-CW3An3 (R) Ranger (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application (R)	I STIN. GEN.	RESISTANT	NOWREH OF	ASI		INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION FIELD OR LABORATORY
Potato Leafhopper Yellowing (Emposca fabue) Other (Specify) NEMATODE RESISTANCE: NEMATODE	Application MSA-CW3An3 (R) Ranger (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application (R)	I STIN. GEN.	RESISTANT	NOWREH OF	ASI		FIELD OR LABORATORY
Potato Leafhopper Yellowing (Emposca fabre) Other (Specify)	Application MSA-CW3An3 (R) Ranger (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application (R) (S) SCORING SYSTEM:	TESTED SYN, GEN.	PERCENT RESISTANT	NUMBER OF		LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION
Potato Leafhopper Yellowing (Emposca fabae) Other (Specify) NEMATODE RESISTANCE: NEMATODE Northern Root Knot	Application MSA-CW3An3 (R) Ranger (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application (R) (S) SCORING SYSTEM:	SYN. GEN. TESTED	PERCENT RESISTANT	NUMBER OF		LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION
Potato Leafhopper Yellowing (Emposca fabae) Other (Specify) NEMATODE RESISTANCE: NEMATODE Northern Root Knot	Application MSA-CW3An3 (R) Ranger (S) SCORING SYSTEM: Application (R) (S) SCORING SYSTEM: VARIETY Application	SYN. GEN. TESTED	PERCENT RESISTANT	NUMBER OF		LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION

NEMATODE	VARIETY	SYN. GEN. TESTED	PERCENT RESISTANT PLANTS	NUMBER OF PLANTS TESTED	ASI	ASI LSD 05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION. FIELD OR LABORATORY	
Southern Root Knot (Meloidogyne incognita)	Application							
	Моара 69 (R)					1		
	Lahontan (S)					1		
	SCORING SYSTEM:					, l <u> </u>	1	
Stem Nematode (Ditylenchus dipsaci)	Application MR	1	18.7	~300		% Resis-	Pioneer Hi-Bred	
	Lahontan (R)		50.0	11		tant Plants	International, Inc 1990	
	Ranger (S)		9.5	11		10.5	Connell, WA Laboratory	
	SCORING SYSTEM: P	lants sc	ored 5-9	(on a 1-9 s	cale w	nere 9=no s	symptoms and 1=dead	
	plant) consi	<u>dered re</u>	sistant.	Data adius	ted to	Lahonton a	t 50% resistant	
Other (Specify)	plants by Pi						-	
	(R)							
	(S)					1		

11. INDICATE THE VARIETY THAT MOST	CLOSELY RESEMBLES THE APPLICATION	VARIETY FOR EACH OF	THE FOLLOWING CI	HARACTERS:
	I"	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

CHARACTER	VARIETY	CHARACTER	VARIETY
Winterhardiness	_	Plant Color	_
Recovery After 1st Cut	5252	Crown Type	5262
Area of Adaptation	5262	Combined Disease Resistance	5252
Flowering Date	. –	Combined Insect Resistance	5252

REFERENCES

Barnes, D.K. 1972. A System for Visually Classifying Alfalfa Flower Color. U.S. Dep. Agric. Handb. 424. 18 pp. (Note: Greenish cast of plate 6, A and B is an artifact of printing, actual colors a blend of yellow and white.)

Elgin, J.H., Jr., (ed.). 1982. Standard Tests to Characterize Pest Resistance in Alfalfa Cultivars. U.S. Dep. Agric. Tech. Bull. (In Press).

Gunn, C.R., W.H. Skrdla, and H.C. Spencer, 1978. Classification of Medicago sativa L. using legume characters and flower colors. U.S. Dep. Agric. Tech. Bull. 1574. 84 pp.

Munsell Color Co., 1977. Munsell Plant Tissue Color Charts. Munsell Color Co., Inc. Baltimore.

NOTE: Any additional descriptive information and supporting documentation may be provided as Exhibit D.

GPO 916-306

EXHIBIT D

152461

APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF ALFALFA VARIETIES FOR CERTIFICATION National Alfalfa Variety Review Board

(The criteria for evaluation of applications were developed by the Joint Alfalfa Work Conference and the Association of Official Seed Certifying Agencies.)

Applicant's Name Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. Date 10-22-91
Address P. O. Box 287, Johnston, Iowa 50131
Sponsoring Institution (if other than applicant)
Breeder's Name (if other than applicant)
Variety Name 5246 Experimental Designation(s) XAE92, YAE92, 88W6PV
Applicant's Signature

The breeder or sponsoring institution or organization must describe and DOCUMENT in this application those characteristics of the variety which give it distinctiveness and merit by supplying the information requested below. Information must be supplied for each category excepting those listed as optional. Action will be deferred unless the application is sufficiently documented.

At the time a variety is accepted for certification, a seed sample of the generation or generations requested by the certifying agency shall be submitted to the certifying agency by the sponsor. This lot(s) is to be retained as a control sample against which all future seed stocks released for certified seed production may be compared to establish continued trueness of variety.

I. A. Estimate the % of the germplasm sources listed below that contribute to the major genetic constitution of this variety.

M.falcata	Ladak	M.varia	Turkistan	Flemish	Chilean
6	8	<u> 27</u>	4	47	8
<u>Peruvian</u>	Indian	African	<u>Arabian</u>	Unknown	

B. A statement of origin (including variety names, germplasm releases and/or PI numbers, and the number of plants or % contribution from each) and the breeding procedures or methods and selection criteria used in developing the variety. Include the procedure for producing breeder seed, the generation (e.g. Syn 1, Syn 2, etc.) that is considered breeder seed, and the year of breeder seed production.

5246 is a synthetic variety comprised of 315 parent plants selected for Aphanomyces resistance from progeny of a straincross involving selected plants originating equally from 5262 and 5373. Straincross parents from 5262 were field selected under extremely high moisture conditions for Aphanomyces and Phytophthora root rot. Straincross parents from 5373 were field selected for Phytophthora root rot under controlled irrigation conditions. Syn 1 seed harvested from parental plants in 1988 in field isolation is considered breeder seed.

C. Seed class to be used, limitations on age of stand and areas of production for each class.

Seed Class	Synthetic Generation	Length of Stand Allowed	Limitation on Acres for Seed Production
Breeder	1	One	None
Foundation	2 or 3	Three	None
Certified	2, 3, or 4	Five	None

Only the synthetic generations given for the above seed classes are recognized as representing this variety. (No supporting data should be used in this application from Syn generations other than those for the Breeder, Foundation and Certified Classes listed here).

D. Procedures for maintaining seed stock:

Breeder seed (Syn 1) produced on 315 plants in field isolation in 1988 was bulked. Seed classes will be breeder, foundation and certified. Foundation seed may be produced from breeder or foundation. The second generation foundation seed may be produced at the discretion of Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. Both breeder and foundation seed will be maintained by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. Certified seed may be produced from breeder or foundation.

- E. Any other requirements or limitations necessary to maintain varietal characteristics? None
- II. A. Describe the primary use of this variety (if for uses other than hay, haylage, greenchop or dehydration):
 - B. List states and areas within states where tested for forage and or persistence. (Present data from each location in III.A. and III.B.).

Johnston, IA; Toledo, IA; Owatonna, MN; Hermiston, OR; Connell, WA; Moses Lake, WA: Lancaster, PA; Quarryville, PA; Davis, IL; Princeton, IL; Arlington, WI; Markesan, WI; Appleton, WI; Eau Claire, WI.

C. List:

- 1. Areas of adaptation. North central, east central, winterhardy intermountain
- 2. Areas of intended use. North central, east central, winterhardy intermountain
- III. Evidence of agronomic performance, including data on yield (in T/A) and persistence. Data may be from tests conducted by private firms, Agricultural Experiment Stations or USDA.
 - A. Minimum required forage yield data is six location years with at least two locations (two locations must be at least 100 miles apart). Seeding year forage yield data cannot be used to satisfy this requirement. One location must have at least two harvest years beyond seeding year. Each harvest year should be listed separately.

Note: For non-dormant varieties (dormancy level of Moapa 69 or CUF 101) seeding year data may be accepted for up to two of the six location years when four or more cuttings are made in the seeding year.

Summarize Forage Yield Data below:

	Date					Total	Yield	(DM T/A		
Test Location	Plntd Mo/Yr				This Variety	2.*	3**	4.***	LSD .05	CV%
JOHNSTON, IA	4/89	1	90 91	3 4	6.4 7.0	6.1 6.8	5.9 5.5	5.8 5.9	0.43 0.48	4.3
JOHNSTON,	4/90	·. 1	91	4	5.9	5.6	4.6	4.5	0.63	7.0
OWATONNA, MN	5/89	1	90 91	3	5.5 5.7	4.6 5.3	4.5 4.8	4.4	0.52 0.59	6.3 6.7
OWATONNA, MN	5/90	1	91	3	5.3	5.4	4.7	4.7	0.54	6.3
HERMISTON, OR	4/89	1	90 91	5 5	10.7 10.6	10.8 10.8	8.8 8.1	9.7 8.1	0.55 0.98	3.2 5.9
HERMISTON, OR	4/90	1	91	5	11.6	11.8	10.1	10.6	0.88	4.6
TOLEDO, IA	4/89	1	90	4	8.0	7.4	6.7	6.7	0.78	6.2
TOLEDO, IA	4/90	1	91	4	6.5	6.3	5.5	5.9	0.80	8.1

Page 4 - 5246

Forage yield continued

								,	.,	
	Date						d (DM T/	<u>'A</u>)		
Test Location	Plntd Mo/Yr				his Variety	LSD 2.*	CV% 3.**	4.***	.05	
CONNELL, WA	4/89	1	90 91	5 5	11.6 10.9	10.8 10.1	9.5 8.8	10.5 9.4	0.80 1.28	7.8
CONNELL,	4/90	1	91	5	11.4	10.9	9.0	11.7	1.07	6.0
LANCASTER, PA	4/89	1	90 91	4	6.6 6.4	6.2 5.8	5.0 4.5	5.4 4.5	0.66 0.87	6.5 8.5
LANCASTER, PA	6/90	1	91	3	3.9	3.1	3.3	3.7	0.60	9.9
QUARRYVILLE,	, 4/89	1	90 91	5 5	5.6 4.8	5.9 4.6	4.0	4.6 3.1	0.70 0.76	
QUARRYVILLE,	6/90	1	91	5	5.3	5.5°	4.5	5.4	1.03	11.4
MOSES LAKE, WA	4/89	1 1	90 91	5 4	9.7 9.5	9.4 9.4	7.4 7.0	8.4 7.1	0.68 0.77	4.3 5.1
MOSES LAKE, WA	4/90	1	91	4	10.0	10.5	9.1	9.9	0.85	5.0
DAVIS,IL	4/89	1	90	4	7.5	7.0	6.2	6.7	0.43	3.7
DAVIS, IL	4/90	1	91	4	6.7	6.5	5.9	5.7	0.87	8.4
MARKESAN, WI	4/89	1 1	90 91	4	7.3 6.3	7.1 6.1	5.7 5.2	6.0 5.8	0.47 0.72	
MARKESAN, WI	4/90	1	91	3	6.5	6.2	5.5	5.7	0.63	5.9
ARLINGTON,	4/89	1 1	90 91	4	7.2 6.7	7.4 6.3	5.7 5.0	6.7 5.8	0.67 0.70	5.7 6.6
ARLINGTON, WI	4/90	1	91	4	6.3	6.0	5.4	5.9	0.42	4.1
PRINCETON,	4/89	1	90	4	4.4	4.2	3.8	3.9	0.26	3.8
PRINCETON,	4/90	1	91	4	7.3	7.1	6.5	6.6	0.61	5.1
APPLETON, WI	5/89	1	90	3	8.3	7.5	6.3	6.9	0.67	5.4

Forage yield continued:

Ck 3 comparison

Ck 4 comparison

41

41

161

161

Test	Date Plntd	Szm	Voar	No	Tota. This		d (DM T)	<u>/A</u>)		
Location	Mo/Yr				sVariety			4.***	.05	
APPLETON, WI	5/90	1	91	3	5.4	5.1	4.3	4.4	0.48	6.6
EAU CLAIRE WI	, 5/89	1	90	4	6.0	5.6	5.0	5.1	0.59	6.6
EAU CLAIRE WI	, 5/90	.1	91	3	5.4	5.7	5.4	5.7	0.60	5.2
2. 5432 3. VERNAL 4. SARANA		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Mean	Annual !	Yield			· .	
		Year: Hvst	s Йо	tal .of sts						
Ck 2 compa	rison	41	1	61_	7.2	6.9				

B. Persistence (winter and drought tolerance, summer survival relative to check varieties). Enter dates of both Initial and Final stand estimates. Data must come from the area of adaptation and from stands at least two years old.

More than one location must be given either when persistence is a trait used to justify release or when large diverse geographic areas of adaption are recommended.

7.2

7.2

5.9

6.3

			Hvtd		Date of Readings Init/Final (Yr/Mo)	This Variety In/Fnl	7 2	Varie 3 In/Fr			CV%
1.	1	89/4	3	9	89/5 91/9	99.1 91.7	99.1 93.1	98.6 91.2	100.0 88.9	2.71 5.89	1.7 3.9
2.	1	89/4	3	12	89/6 91/9	98.2 95.4	98.6 93.1	95.4 84.3	96.3 92.6		
3.	1	89/4	3	10	89/4 91/10	99.5 92.6	100.0 84.7	99.5 75.5		1.27 8.43	

^{1.=}Johnston, IA

- 2. 5432
- 3. VERNAL
- 4. SARANAC

Scoring System used: Data taken as missing six inch units within each plot with total plot size = 72 units.

- C. Fall dormancy as determined from spaced plantings relative to recognized varieties; check varieties must be chosen so as to bracket the dormancy data of this variety.
- 1. Test data

Date Test Syn Last Date			Score or average height This Check Varieties LSD			CV%	
Location	Gen		Measured (Yr/Mo)	Variety 1. 2.	3.	05	
Owatonna, MN	2	8/29/91	10/2/91	20.7 13.9 17	.5 22.9	2.4	10.0

^{1. =} Norsemen

Scoring system used: Average of mature plant height in cm of space plants; 6 replications

2. Indicate which of the following check varieties this variety most nearly compares to in fall dormancy.

^{2.=}Hermiston,OR

^{3.=}Markesan,WI

^{2. =} Vernal

^{3. =} Arrow

VERY DORMANT	DORMANT N	MODERATELY	DORMANT	NON-DORMANT	VERY NON-DORMANT
Norseman ()	<pre>Vernal() Ranger(x)</pre>	Saranac DuPuits Lahontan	()	Mesilla () Moapa 69()	CUF 101 ()

- IV. Other descriptive characteristics

- B. (Document other distinctive characteristics such as pod, leaf or root traits, biochemical markers, etc.)
- V. Pest Resistance Characteristics

PLEASE FOLLOW THESE INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY WHEN REPORTING PEST RESISTANCE RESULTS.

Furnish comparative data on the following insects and diseases (and others where applicable) for your variety. may be from tests conducted by private firms, Agricultural Experiment Stations, or USDA. Tests should be conducted by standard procedures as described in ARS Misc. publication 1434. Each disease and insect test must include published resistant and susceptible checks. Statistics must include the test mean (mean of all entries in test), LSD (.05), and CV (%) for unadjusted % resistance and ASI data that are reported. Resistance levels should be characterized using % resistant plants as follows: S=<6%, LR=6-14%, MR=15-30%, R=31-50%, HR=>50%. Do not refer to Checks should be characterized based on long term % tolerance. resistance averages published in ARS Misc. publication 1434. If data for the resistant check does not fit its expected resistance class (MR, R, HR, etc.) data must be adjusted to the long term mean for the published resistant check. If data has been adjusted, supply both adjusted and unadjusted values and indicate how and by whom the adjustment was made.

At the time a variety is accepted for certification, a seed sample of the generation or generations requested by the certifying agency shall be submitted to the certifying agency by the sponsor. This lot(s) is to be retained as a control sample against which all future seed stocks released for certified seed production may be compared to establish continued trueness of variety.

If a scoring or rating system is used, specify the limits and meaning of scores. NOTE: If a pest reaction of the variety falls on or just above a resistance category level (+2% for LR, MR, and R; +3% for HR) and the higher rating is claimed, results of a second test must be reported. If the two tests do not agree, the lower rating is appropriate unless further testing supports the higher rating. Pest resistance data must be submitted on at least six of the following nine pests: anthracnose, bacterial wilt, Fusarium wilt, Verticillium wilt, Phytophthora root rot, stem nematode, pea aphid, spotted alfalfa aphid, and blue alfalfa aphid. For the pests where no data is available enter "Not tested". The six required pests must be selected from those that frequently cause significant losses on susceptible cultivars in the area of intended use of this variety. Show generation of seed used for each test.

ANTHRACNOSE (Race 1)

Test conducted by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. at Johnston, IA

Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested	Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or A.S.I.
Test Variety 1. ARC	HR	1989	1	45.4	64.2	
2. SARANAC 3.	HR S			45.9 0.0	65.0 0.0	
Test Mo	ean:			30.8	42.7	
L.S.D.	(.05)			13.0	18.4	
C.V. (19.0	19.0	

Scoring system used: % surviving seedlings. Data adjusted to ARC at 65% resistant plants by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

BACTERIAL WILT

Test conducted by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. at Arlington, WI

Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested	Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or A.S.I.
Test Variety 1. VERNAL	HR R	1989	1	57.2 31.2	77.0 42.0	5.76 4.73
2. NARRAGANS 3.	SETT S			3.3	4.4	2.81
Test Me	· ·			28.5	41.2	4.40
L.S.D. C.V. (%			•	11.2 24.0	16.2 24.0	0.63 9.00

Scoring system used:

Plants scored 7-9 (on a 1-9 scale, where 9 = no disease and 1 = dead plant) considered resistant. Data adjusted to Vernal at 42% resistant plants by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

BACTERIAL WILT

Test conducted by University of Minnesota at Rosemount, MN Resistance Unadjusted Adjusted Year Syn Score or Variety Class Tested Gen 8 R ક R A.S.I. 62.6 1.48 Test Variety HR 1990 1 68.0 1. VERNAL R 42.0 2.25 38.7 2. NARRAGANSETT S 7.7 8.3 3.85 Test Mean: 45.6 49.5 2.07 13.8 15.0 L.S.D. (.05) 0.41 12.40 C.V. (%) 18.9 18.9

Scoring system used: Plants scored 0 and 1 (on a 0-5 scale, where 0 = no disease, and 5 = dead plant) considered resistant. Data adjusted to Vernal at 42% resistant plants by the

University of Minnesota.

FUSARIUM WILT

Test conducted by University of Minnesota at Rosemount, MN Resistance Unadjusted Adjusted Year Syn Score or Variety Class Tested Gen % R % R A.S.I. Test Variety 1990 64.3 HR 1 62.0 1.871. AGATE HR 56.0 54.0 2.40 2. NARRAGANSETT MR 30.0 3.48 29.0 3. MNGN-1S 5.2 5.0 4.58 Test Mean: 50.6 52.5 2.45 L.S.D. (.05) 14.6 14.1 0.60 C.V. (%) 17.2 17.2 15.20

Scoring system used:

Plants scored 0 and 1 (on a 0-5 scale, where 0 = no disease, and 5 = dead plant) considered resistant. Data adjusted to Agate at 54% resistant plants by the University of Minnesota.

VERTICILLIUM WILT

Test conducted by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. at Arlington, WI

Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested	Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or A.S.I.
Test Variety	R	1989	1	36.0	46.4	3.30
1. VERTUS	R			31.0	40.0	3.16
2. SARANAC 3.	S	•		3.0	3.9	1.60
Test Me	ean:			19.9	23.4	2.60
L.S.D.	(.05)			11.6	14.9	0.55
C.V. (9	k)			47.0	47.0	17.00

Scoring system used:

Plants scored 5-9 (on a 1-9 scale where 9 = no disease and 1 = dead plant considered resistant. Data adjusted to Vertus at 40% resistant plants by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

PHYTOPHTHORA ROOT ROT

Test conducted by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. at Quarryville, PA

Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested	Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or A.S.I.
Test Variety	HR	1989	1	37.2	55.8	
1. AGATE	R			28.7	43.0	
2. SARANAC 3.	S			3.2	4.8	
Test Me	ean:	. *		24.0	36.0	
L.S.D.	(.05)			11.8	17.7	
C.V. (9				35.0	35.0	

Scoring system used: % healthy seedlings

STEM NEMATODE

Test conducted by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. at Connell, WA

Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested	Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or A.S.I.
Test Variety	MR	1990	1	13.4	18.7	
1. LAHONTAN	R		•	35.9	50.0	
2. RANGER 3.	S			6.8	9.5	
Test Me				23.6	32.9	
				7.5	10.5	
L.S.D.						
C.V. (%	•)			20.0	20.0	

Scoring system used:

Plants scored 5-9 (on a 1-9 scale, where 9 = no symptoms and 1 = dead plant) considered resistant. Data adjusted to Lahontan at 50% resistant plants by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

PEA APHID

Test conducted by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. at Johnston, IA

Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested	Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or A.S.I.
Test Variety	R	1991	2	40.8	40.4	
1. BAKER	R			45.4	45.0	
2. VERNAL 3.	S			4.0	4.0	
Test	: Mean:			36.3	36.0	•
	D. (.05)			10.3	10.2	
C.V.				18.0	18.0	

Scoring system used: Plants scored 5-9 (on a 1-9 scale where 9=tall, symptomless plant and 1=dead plant) considered resistant. Data adjusted to Baker at 45% resistant plants by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

1

SPOTTED ALFALFA APHID

Test conducted by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. at Kerman, CA

	Resistance	Year	Syn	Unadjusted	Adiusted	Score or
Variety	Class	Tested	Gen	% R	% R	A.S.I.
Test Variety	R	1991	1	31.5	41.6	
1. BAKER	R			38.0	50.0	
2. ARC 3.	S		٠,	0.0	0.0	:
Test M	ean:			30.3	39.9	
L.S.D.	(.05)	•		10.9	14.4	
Ċ.V. (%)			23.0	23.0	

Scoring system used:

Plants scored 5-9 (on a 1-9 scale, where 9 = no damage and 1 = dead plant)
considered resistant. Data adjusted to
Baker at 50% resistant plants by Pioneer
Hi-Bred International, Inc.

BLUE ALFALFA APHID

Test conducted by			at						
Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested	Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or A.S.I.			
Test Variet 1. 2. 3.	Y	N	OT TE	STED					
r	rest Mean: S.S.D. (.05) C.V. (%)					·			
Scoring sys	stem used:								

OTHER PEST EVALUATIONS

APHANOMYCES ROOT ROT

Test conducted by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. at Arlington, WI

Variety	Resistance Class	Year Tested	Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or A.S.I.
Test Variety	MR	1990	2	20.1	18.7	3.52
1. WAPH-1 2. AGATE	R			53.8 1.3	50.0 1.2	5.56 1.82
3.					•	4
Test Mean:				6.5	6.1	2.30
L.S.D. (.05)			6.5	6.0	0.70	
C.V. (%)			71.0	71.0	23.00

Scoring system used: Plant

Plants scored 7-9 (on a 1-9 scale, where 9 = no damage to roots or hypocotyls and 1 = dead plant) considered resistant. Data adjusted to WAPH-1 at 50% resistant plants by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

					
esistance Class		Syn Gen	Unadjusted % R	Adjusted % R	Score or A.S.I.
		· .			
	. *				
		Class Tested	Class Tested Gen	Class Tested Gen % R	Class Tested Gen & R & R

Page 15 - 5246

Please attach a one page description/summary of your variety as you wish it published by AOSCA. This description must stand on its own; please use complete sentences and number each item following the format given below.

Include the following:

- 1. A statement of genetic origin (including variety names, germplasm releases, and/or PI numbers that contributed to the major genetic constitution of this variety) and the breeding procedures, methods, and selection criteria used in developing the variety. Estimate the % of the major germplasm sources contributing to this cultivar. (see I.A.)
- 2. Area of probable adaptation and use (geographic area) and primary purpose (hay, grazing, etc.) for which this variety will be used. Report states where the variety has been tested for yield and persistence and proposed areas of intended use.
- 3. Descriptive characteristics such as fall dormancy, flower color, and any other morphological or physiological characteristics that may be used as identifying traits.
- 4. A statement relative to the varieties resistance to anthracnose, bacterial wilt, Fusarium wilt, Verticillium wilt, Phytophthora root rot, stem nematode, pea aphid, spotted alfalfa aphid, and blue alfalfa aphid and other evaluated pests.
- 5. Procedures for maintaining seed stock, seed classes to be used, a statement as to the limitation of age of stand and generations that may be certified and other requirements or limitations necessary to maintain varietal characteristics.
- 6. If this variety is accepted by official certifying agencies, when will certified seed first be offered for sale?
- 7. Will application be made for protection under the Plant Variety Protection Act and if so, will the certification option be requested?
- 8. As a means of added varietal protection, are you willing to have the information in this application turned over to the PVP office?

- 5246 is a synthetic variety with 315 parent plants originating from an experimental line tracing to the varieties 5373 and 5262. Parent plants were selected through phenotypic selection for resistance to Phytophthora root rot and/or Aphanomyces root rot. Germplasm sources are M. falcata (7%), Ladak (9%), M. varia (26%), Turkistan (4%), Flemish (47%), Chilean (7%).
- 2. 5246 is adapted to and intended for use in the north central east central and winterhardy intermountain regions of the United States for hay, haylage, greenchop, and dehydration. The states in which 5246 has been tested are Iowa, Illinois, Minnesota, Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, Oregon, and Washington. This variety has been tested under the experimental designations XAE92, YAE92 and 88W6PV1.
- 3. 5246 is a dormant cultivar with fall dormancy similar to Ranger. Flower color in the Syn 1 generation is approximately 73% purple and 27% variegated with traces of yellow, white, and cream. Growth habit is erect in midsummer and semi-erect in the fall.
- 4. 5246 has high resistance to anthracnose (Race 1), bacterial wilt, Fusarium wilt, and Phytophthora root rot; resistance to Verticillium wilt, spotted alfalfa aphid and pea aphid; moderate resistance to Aphanomyces (Race 1) and stem nematode. 5246 has not been tested for blue alfalfa aphid.
- 5. Breeder seed (Syn 1) was produced in one year on parent plants in "field isolation". Seed classes will be breeder, foundation (Syn 2 or Syn 3) and certified (Syn 2, Syn 3, or Syn 4). Foundation seed may be produced from breeder or foundation. The second generation foundation may be produced at the discretion of Pioneer Hi-Bred International Inc. Limitations on ages of stand will be three years and five years, respectively, for foundation seed and certified seed. Sufficient breeder and foundation seed for the projected life of the variety will be maintained by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.
- 6. Seed will be marketed in the spring of 1992.
- 7. Application for Plant Variety Protection has been made, and the certification option has not be requested.
- 8. As a means of added varietal protection, information included with the Application for Review of Alfalfa Variety for Certification may be provided to the PVP office.

EXHIBIT E

STATEMENT OF THE BASIS OF APPLICANT'S OWNERSHIP

15246

Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc., Des Moines, Iowa, is the employer of the plant breeders involved in the development and evaluation of 5246. Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. has the sole rights and ownership of 5246.